

Teil II

Friedensvölkerrecht

§ 10 Der Raum im Völkerrecht

A. Überblick

Vertiefende Literatur zu A.: *A. v. Arnould*, Politische Räume im Völkerrecht, in: Odendahl/Giegerich (Hg.), Räume im Völker- und Europarecht, 2014, 179; *D. Kritsiotis*, Public International Law and Its Territorial Imperative, MichJIL 30 (2009), 547; *A. Orakhelashvili*, International Law and Geopolitics: One Object, Conflicting Legitimacies?, NYIL 39 (2008), 155; *K. Odendahl/T. Giegerich* (Hg.), Räume im Völker- und Europarecht, 2014; *A. Orford*, Jurisdiction Without Territory: From the Holy Roman Empire to the Responsibility to Protect, MichJIL 30 (2009), 981; *A. Proelß*, Raum und Umwelt im Völkerrecht, in: Vitzthum/Proelß (Hg.), Völkerrecht, 8. Aufl. 2019, 5. Abschnitt.

B. Internationales öffentliches Seerecht

Vertiefende Literatur zu B.: Zu I., Seerecht allgemein. *D. Attard/D. Ong/D. Kritsiotis* (Hg.), The IMLI Treatise On Global Ocean Governance: Vol. I: UN and Global Ocean Governance, 2018; *R. Bernhardt*, Verfall und Neubildung von Gewohnheitsrecht im Meeresvölkerrecht, FS Stödter, 1979, 155; *E. Cannizzaro*, Pouvoirs discrétionnaires des Etats et proportionnalité dans le droit de la mer, RGDIP 106 (2002), 241; *R. Churchill*, 10 Years of the UN Conversation on the Law of the Sea: Towards a Global Ocean Regime? A General Appraisal, GYIL 48 (2005) 81; *L. Costillo* (Hg.), Law of the Sea: From Grotius to the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (= FS Caminos), 2015; *A. Del Vecchio* (Hg.), International Law of the Sea: Current Trends and Controversial Issues, 2013; *D. Freestone* (Hg.), The 1982 Law of the Sea Convention at 30, 2013; *J. Harrison*, Making the Law of the Sea, 2011; *U. Jenisch*, Eine Verfassung für die Meere, 1994; *Ø. Jensen* (Hg.), The Development of the Law of the Sea Convention: The Role of International Courts and Tribunals, 2019; *A. Kirchner*, Law of the Sea, History of, MPEPIL (9/2007); *N. Klein/K. Parlett*, Judging the Law of the Sea, 2022 (i.E.); *D. König*, The Enforcement of the International Law of the Sea by Coastal and Port States, ZaöRV 62 (2002), 1; *M. Lodge/M. Nordquist* (Hg.), Peaceful Order in the World's Oceans (= FS Nandan), 2014; *L. Nelson*, Declarations, Statements and "Disguised Reservations" with respect to the Convention of the Law of the Sea, ICLQ 50 (2001), 767; *E. Posner/A. Sykes*, Economic Foundations of the Law of the Sea, AJIL 104 (2010), 569; *R. Rayfuse*, Some Reflections on What's Wrong with the Law of the Sea, FS Soons, 2015, 16; *R. Roland Holst*, Change in the Law of the Sea: Context, Mechanisms and Practice, 2022; *H. Scheiber* (Hg.), Law of the Sea: The Common Heritage and Emerging Challenges, 2000; *S. Schlacke/M. Köster*, Meere als Erbe der Menschheit, Ad Legendum 2013, 257; *T. Scovazzi*, The Evolution of International Law of the Sea, RdC 286 (2000), 39; *T. Treves*, UNCLOS at Thirty, Ocean Yearbook 27 (2013), 49; *S. Trevisanut/N. Giannopoulos/R. Roland Holst* (Hg.), Regime Interaction in Ocean Governance: Problems, Theories and Methods, 2020; *D. Werle* (Hg.), The Future of Ocean Governance and Capacity Development (= FS Mann Borgese); Focus: Law of the Sea in the 21st Century, GYIL 57 (2014).

Zu II. Abgrenzung, Festlegung. International Maritime Boundaries, 8 Bände, 1993-2020 (hg. v. *J. Charney/L. Alexander*: 1-3, *J. Charney/R. Smith*: 4, *D. Colson/R. Smith*: 5-6, *C. Lathrop*: 7-8); *D. Anderson*, Recent Judicial Decisions Concerning Maritime Delimitation, FS Caminos, 2015,

495; *F. Campagnola*, Conflits maritimes et “joint development” en Mer de Chine, ADM 20 (2015), 79; *T. Cottier*, Equitable Principles of Maritime Boundary Delimitation, 2015; *S. Fietta/R. Clerverly* (Hg.), A Practitioner’s Guide to Maritime Boundary Delimitation, 2016; *A. Gioia*, Historic Titles, MPEPIL (11/2018); *G. Hafner*, Die seerechtliche Verteilung von Nutzungsrechten, 1987; *S.-Y. Hong/J. M. Van Dyke* (Hg.), Maritime Boundary Disputes, Settlement Procedures, and the Law of the Sea, 2009; *E. Johansen/S. Veierud Busch/I. U. Jakobsen* (Hg.), The Law of the Sea and Climate Change: Solutions and Constraints, 2020; *M. Katduńska/T. Wasilewski*, The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea on Maritime Delimitation, ODIL 45 (2014), 123; *G. Labrecque*, Les frontières maritimes internationales, 1998; *M. Lando*, Maritime Delimitation as a Judicial Process, 2019; *Y. van Logchem*, The Rights and Obligations of States in Disputed Maritime Areas, 2021; *X. Ma*, The Spratly Islands and International Law: Legal Solutions to Coexistence and Cooperation in Disputed Areas, 2022; *A. Oude Elferink*, International Law and Negotiated and Adjudicated Maritime Boundaries, GYIL 58 (2016), 231; *ders./T. Henriksen/S. Busch* (Hg.), Maritime Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law, 2018; *K. Purcell*, Geographical Change and the Law of the Sea, 2019; *J. A. Roach*, Maritime Boundary Delimitation, ODIL 44 (2013), 1; *ders.*, Excessive Maritime Claims, 4. Aufl. 2021; *C. Symmons*, Historic Waters and Historic Rights in the Law of the Sea: A Modern Reappraisal, 2. Aufl. 2019; *Y. Tanaka*, Predictability and Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation, 2. Aufl. 2021; *S. Weber*, Grenzstreit und Gegenmaßnahmen im Völkerrecht, Jura 2011, 527. – **Eigengewässer, Häfen.** *K. Bangert*, Internal Waters: Customary Rules of the Extension of Internal Waters, NorJIL 43 (1992/93), 43; *ders.*, Internal Waters, MPEPIL (2/2018); *C. Ryngaert/H. Ringbom* (Hg.), Port State Jurisdiction: Challenges and Potential, 2016 (= IJMCL 31, Heft 3: Special Issue). – **Küstenmeer, Anschlusszone, Meerengen.** *H. Caminos*, Contiguous Zone, MPEPIL (3/2013); *ders./V. Cogliati-Bantz*, The Legal Regime of Straits, 2014; *S. Gahlen*, Less Than Meets The Eye – The Right of Innocent Passage and Coastal State Sovereignty in Territorial Waters, ADMO 33 (2015), 71; *K. Hakapää*, Innocent Passage, MPEPIL (5/2013); *S. Kaye*, International Straits, in: *Bannelier* (Hg.), The ICJ and the Evolution of International Law, 2012, 149; *R. Lapidoth*, Straits, International, MPEPIL (7/2018); *A. Lott*, Hybrid Threats and the Law of the Sea: Use of Force and Discriminatory Navigational Restrictions in Straits, 2022; *N. Matz-Lück*, Meerengen: nationale Kontrolle oder freie Durchfahrt?, FördeRecht 2012, 15; *S. Wolf*, Territorial Sea, MPEPIL (8/2013). – **AWZ.** *D. Czybulka/P. Francesconi*, Rechtliche Rahmenbedingungen der Managementplanung für Meeresschutzgebiete in der deutschen ausschließlichen Wirtschaftszone, NuR 2017, 594; *J. Forkel*, Maritime Raumordnung in der Ausschließlichen Wirtschaftszone (AWZ), 2012; *E. Francx*, The 200-mile Limit: Between Creeping Jurisdiction and Creeping Common Heritage?, GYIL 48 (2005), 117; *C. Goodman*, Coastal State Jurisdiction over Living Resources in the Exclusive Economic Zone, 2022; *D. Nelson*, Exclusive Economic Zone, MPEPIL (3/2008); *A. Proelß*, Das Regime der ausschließlichen Wirtschaftszone im Wandel der Zeit, EurUP 10 (2012), 280; *ders.*, Die Reichweite küstenstaatlicher Befugnisse und Voraussetzungen der Anwendung des deutschen Rechts in der Ausschließlichen Wirtschaftszone, EurUP 2018, 392; *M. Rosello*, IUU Fishing as a Flag State Accountability Paradigm: Between Effectiveness and Legitimacy, 2021; *S. tho Pesch*, Die Schifffahrtsfreiheit in der maritimen Raumplanung der Ausschließlichen Wirtschaftszone, 2021. – **Festlandsockel.** *Y. Huang/X. Liao*, Natural Prolongation and Delimitation of the Continental Shelf Beyond 200 nm, AsianJIL 4 (2014), 281; *Ø. Jensen*, Maritime Boundary Delimitation Beyond 200 Nautical Miles: The International Judiciary and the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf, Nordic JIL 84 (2015), 580; *B. Kunoy*, The Delimitation of an Indicative Area of Overlapping Entitlement to the Outer Continental Shelf, BYIL 83 (2013), 61; *B. Magnússon*, Outer Continental Shelf Boundary Agreements, ICLQ 62 (2013), 345; *P.-T. Stoll*, Continental Shelf, MPEPIL (9/2008). – **Hohe See.** *R. Beckman u.a.* (Hg.), High Seas Governance: Gaps and Challenges, 2019; *C.-G. Hasselmann*, Die Freiheit der Handelsschifffahrt, 1987; *F. Orrego Vicuña*, The Changing International Law of High Seas Fisheries, 2005; *E. Papastavridis*, The Right of Visit on the High Seas in a Theoretical Perspective: Mare Liberum versus Mare Clausum Revisited, LJIL 24 (2011), 45; *ders.*, The Interception of Vessels on the High Seas, 2013; *T. Treves*, High Seas, MPEPIL (1/2009). – **Umwelt- und Artenschutz.** *K. Bangert*, Fisheries Agreements, MPEPIL (1/2018); *R. Barnes*, The Proposed LOSC Implementation Agree-

ment on Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction and Its Impact on International Fisheries, IJMCL 31 (2016), 583; *S. Beslier*, Gouvernance de la Haute mer: Vers un accord d'application pour "la conservation et l'exploitation durable de la biodiversité marine" au-delà la juridiction nationale, ADM 20 (2015), 57; *J. Harrison*, Saving the Oceans Through Law: The International Legal Framework for the Protection of the Marine Environment, 2017; *S. Kirchner/D. Alkanli*, Staatenverantwortlichkeit und völkerrechtlicher Meeresumweltschutz: Deepwater Horizon, StudZR 2011, 215; *D. König*, Durchsetzung internationaler Bestands- und Umweltvorschriften auf Hoher See im Interesse der Staatengemeinschaft, 1990; *dies.*, Marine Environment, International Protection, MPEPIL (2/2013); *S. L. Lothian*, Marine Conservation and International Law: Legal Instruments for Biodiversity Beyond National Jurisdiction, 2022; *C. Payne*, Responsibility to the international community for marine biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction, CILJ 11 (2022), 24; *A. Proelß*, Meeresschutz im Völker- und Europarecht, 2004; *ders.*, Naturschutz im Meeresvölkerrecht, AVR 54 (2016), 468; *J. Schäli*, The Mitigation of Marine Plastic Pollution in International Law: Facts, Policy and Legal Implications, 2022, Special Issue: Climate Change and the LOSC, IJMCL 34 (2019). – **Forschung.** *C. Yu*, Marine Scientific Research and the Regulation of Modern Ocean Data Collection Activities under UNCLOS, 2022 (i.E.); *K. Zou/A. Telesetsky* (Hg.), Marine Scientific Research, New Marine Technologies and the Law of the Sea, 2021. – **Seenotrettung.** *F. Attard*, The Duty of the Shipmaster to Render Assistance at Sea under International Law, 2020; *K. Oellers-Frahm*, Italien und die Rettung von Migranten, AVR 57 (2019), 345; *K. Oellers-Frahm*, Italien und die Rettung von Migranten in Seenot: Zweiter Akt, AVR 58 (2020), 337; *I. Papanicolopulu*, International Law and the Protection of People at Sea, 2018; *M. Pichl/T. Tohidipur*, Verpflichtung zur aktiven Seenotrettung, in: *dies.* (Hg.), An den Grenzen Europas und des Rechts: Interdisziplinäre Perspektiven auf Migration, Grenzen und Recht, 2019, 177. – **Maritime Sicherheit.** *A. v. Arnauld*, Die moderne Piraterie und das Völkerrecht, AVR 47 (2009), 454; *M. Chadwick*, Piracy and the Origins of Universal Jurisdiction: On Stranger Tides?, 2018; *Y. Dinstein*, Piracy Jure Gentium, FS Wolfrum, Bd. 2, 2012, 1125; *ders.*, Piracy vs. International Armed Conflict, FS Caminos, 2015, 423; *M. Evans/S. Galani* (Hg.), Maritime Security and the Law of the Sea: Help or Hindrance?, 2020; *M. Fink*, Maritime Interception and the Law of Naval Operations: A Study of Legal Bases and Legal Regimes in Maritime Interception Operations, 2018; *J. Fournier*, Der Einsatz der Streitkräfte gegen Piraterie auf See, 2014; *R. Geiß/A. Petrig*, Piracy and Armed Robbery at Sea, BYIL 82 (2012), 520; *W. Heintschel v. Heinegg*, Repressing Piracy and Armed Robbery at Sea, IsrYBHR 40 (2010), 219; *I. Van Hespen*, Developing the Concept of Maritime Piracy, IJMCL 31 (2016), 279; *D. König*, Der Einsatz von Seestreitkräften zur Verhinderung von Terrorismus und Verbreitung von Massenvernichtungswaffen sowie zur Bekämpfung der Piraterie, BDGVR 44 (2010), 203; *R. Lagoni*, Piraterie und widerrechtliche Handlungen gegen die Sicherheit der Seeschiffahrt, FS Rauschning, 2001, 501; *A. Rubin*, The Law of Piracy, 2. Aufl. 1998; *F. Sax*, Soldaten gegen Piraten: Der extraterritoriale Einsatz der deutschen Marine zur Pirateriekämpfung im Lichte von Völkerrecht und Grundgesetz, 2018; *S. Schmahl*, Die Bekämpfung der Seepiraterie im Spiegel des Völkerrechts, des Europarechts und der deutschen Rechtsordnung, AöR 136 (2011), 44; *I. Shearer*, Piracy, MPEPIL (10/2010); *T. Treves*, Piracy, Law of the Sea, and Use of Force, EJIL 20 (2009), 399; *ders.*, The Fight against Piracy and the Law of the Sea, ItalYIL 22 (2013), 23; *B. Wilson*, Human Rights and Maritime Law Enforcement, Stanford JIL 52 (2016), 243; *R. Wolfrum*, Military Vessel Protection Detachments under National and International Law, FS Vukas, 2015, 360.

Zu III. *D. Bowett*, The Legal Regime of Islands in International Law, 1979; *H. Dipla/D. Azaria*, Islands, MPEPIL (3/2021); *C. Fitzpatrick*, Künstliche Inseln und Anlagen auf See, 1998; *P. Gautier/V. Tassel*, Les plates-formes en mer et le droit international, AFDI 59 (2013), 183; *W. Heintschel v. Heinegg*, The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and Maritime Security Operations, GYIL 48 (2005), 151; *ders.*, Warships, MPEPIL (10/2015); *D. König*, Flag of Ships, MPEPIL (4/2009); *M. Loja*, Status Quo Post Bellum and the Legal Resolution of the Territorial Dispute between China and Japan over the Senkaku/Diaoyu Islands, EJIL 27 (2016), 979; *C. Moore*, Freedom of Navigation and the Law of the Sea: Warships, States and the Use of Force, 2021; *M. Núñez-Müller*, Die Staatszugehörigkeit von Handelsschiffen im Völkerrecht, 1994; *I. Saunders*, Artificial Islands and Territory in International Law, VanderbiltJTL 52 (2019), 643; *N. Singh*, Maritime Flag

and State Responsibility, FS Lachs, 1984, 657; *N. Skourtos*, Die Billig-Flaggen-Praxis und die Staatliche Flaggenverleihungsfreiheit, 1990; *C. Symmons*, The Maritime Zones of Islands in International Law, 1979; *B. Wilson*, Advancing the Law of Vessel Interference by Non-State Actors, TexILJ 55 (2019), 159; South China Sea Agora, in: Australian YIL 34 (2016), 21; South China Sea Arbitration: Symposium in AsianJIL 8 (2018), Heft 1.

Zu IV. *C. Brown*, International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, IJMCL 17 (2002), 267; *J. Charney*, The Implications of Expanding International Dispute Settlement Systems: The 1982 Convention on the Law of the Sea, AJIL 90 (1996), 69; *R. Churchill*, Dispute Settlement under the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, IJMCL 21 (2006), 1; *G. Eriksson*, The role of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea in the peaceful settlement of disputes, IJIL 37 (1997), 347; *M. G. García-Revillo*, The Contentious and Advisory Jurisdiction of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 2015; *N. Klein*, Dispute Settlement in the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 2009; *dies.*, The Vicissitudes of Dispute Settlement under the Law of the Sea Convention, IJMCL 32 (2017), 332; *N. Matz-Lück*, Die Bedeutung des Internationalen Seegerichtshofs, VN 2018, 155; *T. Mensah*, The Jurisdiction of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, RabelsZ 63 (1999), 330; *C. Rao*, ITLOS: The First Six Years, MPYUNL 6 (2002), 183; *ders.*, International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, MPEPIL (3/2011); *ders.*, Law of the Sea, Settlement of Disputes, MPEPIL (3/2011); *ders./P. Gautier* (Hg.), The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: Law, Practice and Procedure, 2018; *H. Ruiz Fabri* u.a. (Hg.), A Bridge over Troubled Waters: Dispute Resolution in the Law of International Watercourses and the Law of the Sea, 2020; *J. Seymour*, The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: A Great Mistake?, IJGLS 13 (2006), 1; *S. Talmon*, Der Internationale Seegerichtshof in Hamburg als Mittel der friedlichen Beilegung seerechtlicher Streitigkeiten, JuS 2001, 550; *T. Treves*, Conflicts between the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea and the International Court of Justice, NYUJILP 31 (1999), 809; *S. Wasum-Reiner*, The UNCLOS Dispute Settlement System: Between Hamburg and The Hague, GYIL 48 (2005), 187.

C. Staatengemeinschaftsräume

Vertiefende Literatur zu C: zu I. und V. *R. Barnes*, Consolidating Governance Principles for Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction, IJMCL 27 (2012), 261; *K. Baslar*, The Concept of the Common Heritage of Mankind in International Law, 1998; *S. Cogolati/J. Wouters* (Hg.), The Commons and a New Global Governance, 2018; *T. Cottier* (Hg.), The Prospects of Common Concern of Humankind in International Law, 2021; *H. J. Diamond/H. Doremus/H. C. Yang* (Hg.), Common Currents: Examining How We Manage the Ocean Commons, 2022; *W. Durner*, Common Goods, 2001; *S. Hobe*, Was bleibt vom gemeinsamen Erbe der Menschheit?, FS Delbrück, 2005, 329; *C. Joyner*, The concept of the Common Heritage of Mankind in international law, Emory ILR 13 (1999), 615; *F. Lenzerini/A. F. Vrdoljak* (Hg.), International Law for Common Goods: Normative Perspectives on Human Rights, Culture and Nature, 2014; *R. St. J. MacDonald*, The Common Heritage of Mankind, FS Bernhardt, 1995, 153; *A. Oude Elferink/E. Molenaar* (Hg.), The International Legal Regime of Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction, 2010; *M. Pinto*, “Common Heritage of Mankind”: From Metaphor to Myth, and the Consequences of Constructive Ambiguity, FS Skubiszewski, 1999, 249; *S. Shackelford*, The Tragedy of the Common Heritage of Mankind, Stanford ELJ 28 (2009), 109; *R. Wolfrum*, Die Internationalisierung staatsfreier Räume, 1984; *ders.*, Common Heritage of Mankind, MPEPIL (11/2009).

Zu II. *A. Ascencio-Herrera/M. Nordquist* (Hg.), The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, Part XI Regime and the International Seabed Authority: A Twenty-Five Year Journey, 2022; *C. Banet* (Hg.), The Law of the Seabed: Access, Uses, and Protection of Seabed Resources, 2020; *S. Brocza/A. Brocza*, Das UN-Tiefseebergbauregime als Beispiel für Aneignung und Inwertsetzung von Common Heritage of Mankind, in: *Exner/Held/Kümmerer* (Hg.), Kritische Metalle in der Großen Transformation, 2016, 161; *J.-S. Fritz*, Deep Sea Anarchy: Mining at the Frontiers of International Law, IJMCL 30 (2015), 445; *A. L. Jaeckel*, The International Seabed Authority and the Pre-

cautionary Principle, 2017; *G. Jaenicke*, Joint Ventures for Deep Seabed Mining Operations, Za-ÖRV 55 (1995), 329; *U. Jenisch*, Tiefseebergbau, NuR 2013, 841; *J. Koch*, Die Internationale Meeresbodenbehörde in Kingston, VN 44 (1996), 210; *ders.*, Deep Seabed Mining and the Protection of the Maritime Environment, FS Jaenicke, 1998, 495; *D. König*, Neues Meeresvölkerrecht: Das Regime für die Tiefsee, Jura 1995, 127; *M. Lodge*, International Seabed Area, MPEPIL (7/2021); *ders.*, International Seabed Authority (ISA), MPEPIL (9/2020); *A. Oude Elferink*, The Regime of the Area, IJMCL 22 (2007), 143; *T. Scovazzi*, The Exploitation of Resources of the Deep Seabed and the Protection of the Environment, GYIL 57 (2014), 181; *L. Sohn*, International Law Implications of the 1994 Agreement, AJIL 88 (1994), 696; *M. Starre*, Der Meeresboden: Haftungsregime des Tiefseebergbaus, 2016; *K. Zou* (Hg.), Global Commons and the Law of the Sea, 2018.

Zu III. *M. Bourbonnière/R. Lee*, Legality of the Deployment of Conventional Weapons in Earth Orbit, EJIL 18 (2007), 873; *M. Byers/A. Simon-Butler*, Outer Space, MPEPIL (10/2020); *Y.-W. Chang*, The first decade of commercial space tourism, Acta Astronautica 108 (2015), 79; *B. Cheng*, Studies in Space Law, 1997; *S. Freeland*, Up, up and...back: The Emergence of Space Tourism and its Impact on the International Law of Outer Space, ChiJIL 6 (2005), 1; *L. J. Friman*, War and Peace in Outer Space: A Review of the Legality of the Weaponization of Outer Space in the Light of the Prohibition on Non-Peaceful Purposes, FYIL 16 (2005), 285; *A. Froehlich*, A Fresh View on the Outer Space Treaty, 2017; *G. Harlan Reynolds*, International Space Law in Transformation: Some Observations, ChiJIL 6 (2005/06), 69; *M. Hintz*, Weltraumrechtlicher Umweltschutz im völkerrechtlichen Regelungszusammenhang, 1995; *S. Hobe*, Die rechtlichen Rahmenbedingungen der wirtschaftlichen Nutzung des Weltraums, 1992; *ders.*, Die unterschiedlichen Rechtsordnungen für Luft- und Weltraum, in: Odendahl/Giegerich (Hg.), Räume im Völker- und Europarecht, 2014, 21; *M. Hofmann*, Moon and Celestial Bodies, MPEPIL (6/2010); *R. Jakhu/S. Reeland/K.-W. Chen*, The Sources of International Space Law: Revisited, ZLW 67 (2018), 606; *C. Kypraios/E. Carpanelli*, Space Debris, MPEPIL (9/2018); *M. Lachs*, The Law of Outer Space, 1972 (Nachdruck 2010); *ders.*, The Treaty on Principles of the Law of Outer Space, 1961-1992, NILR 39 (1992), 291; *G. Lafferranderie* (Hg.), Outlook on Space Law over the Next 30 Years, 1997; *P. B. Larsen*, Outer Space Arms Control: Can the USA, Russia and China Make this Happen?, JCSL 23 (2017), 137; *J. S. Lewis/C. F. Lewis*, A Proposed Legal Regime for the Era of Private Commercial Utilization of Space, GWILR 37 (2005), 745; *M. Mejía-Kaiser*, The Geostationary Ring: Practice and Law, 2020; *J. Nyamuya Maogoto*, The Military Ascent into Space: From Play-ground to Battleground, NILR 52 (2005), 461; *G. Oduntan*, Sovereignty and Jurisdiction in the Airspace and Outer Space, 2012; *Z. Palioruras*, The Non-Appropriation Principle: The Grundnorm of International Space Law, LJIL 27 (2014), 37; *A. Pershing*, Interpreting the Outer Space Treaty's Non-Appropriation Principle: Customary International Law from 1967 to Today, YaleJIL 44 (2019), 149; *I. Polley*, INTELSAT: Restrukturierung einer internationalen Telekommunikationsorganisation, 2002; *H. Qizhi*, The Outer Space Treaty in Perspective, JSpaceL 25 (1997), 93; *I. Reutzel*, Das Weltraumrecht in Europa, 2014; *M. Schladebach*, Einführung in das Weltraumrecht, JuS 2008, 217; *M. Schladebach*, Die Internationale Raumstation: Lost in Space?, ZLWR 2013, 709; *M. Schmitt*, International Law and Military Operations in Space, MPUNYB 10 (2006), 89; *K.-U. Schrogel*, Zivile Satellennutzung in internationaler Zusammenarbeit, 1993; *B. Staudt*, Die transatlantische Raumstationskooperation, 1992; *W. Stoffel*, Das Haftungssystem des internationalen Weltraumrechts, NJW 1991, 2181; *F. Tronchetti*, Right of Self-Defence in Outer Space: An Appraisal, ZLWR 2014, 92; *D. Wolter*, Völkerrechtliche Grundlagen „Gemeinsamer Sicherheit“ im Weltraum nach universellem Völkerrecht, 2003; *D. Zannoni*, The Liability Regime for Private Activities in Outer Space: Is There a Normative Gap?, AVR 59 (2021), 1; *M. de Zwart*, Outer Space, in: Boothby (Hg.), New Technologies and the Law in War and Peace, 2019, 337; Special Issue: The Future of Space Governance, GeorgiaJICL 48 (2020).

Zu IV. *F. Auburn*, Aspects of the Antarctic Treaty Systems, AVR 26 (1988), 203; *R. Bermejo*, L'antarctique et ses ressources minérales, 1990; *M. Byers*, Arctic Region, MPEPIL (7/2019); *ders.*, International Law and the Arctic, 2013; *F. Campagnola*, La diversité des enjeux arctiques et le droit international de la mer, ADM 21 (2016), 89; *E. Canuel*, The Four Arctic Law Pillars: A Legal

Framework, *GeorgeJIL* 46 (2015), 735; *F. Franconi/T. Scovazzi* (Hg.), International Law for Antarctica, 1996; *I. Hodgson-Johnston*, The Laws of Territorial Acquisition as Applied to Claims to Antarctic Territory, *YPL* 7 (2015), 556; *A. Höfelmeyer/S. Vöneky*, Rechtsfragen bei Forschungsaktivitäten über dem Festlandsockel der Arktis und Antarktis, *Ordnung der Wissenschaft* 2 (2014), 43; *M. T. Infante*, Maritime Conversations in Antarctica, *GYIL* 35 (1992), 249; *C. C. Joyner/S. K. Chopra* (Hg.), The Antarctic Legal Regime, 1988; *A. Kämmerer*, Die Antarktis in der Raum- und Umweltschutzverordnung des Völkerrechts, 1994; *Z. Keyuan*, The Common Heritage of Mankind and the Antarctic Treaty System, *NILR* 38 (1991), 173; *T. Kovurova* u. a. (Hg.), Arctic Law and Governance, 2017; *S. Lorenz*, Die Antarktis – ein Kontinent jenseits der Souveränität, in: *Oden-dahl/Giegerich* (Hg.), Räume im Völker- und Europarecht, 2014, 37; *M. Nordquist/J. Moore/R. Long* (Hg.), Challenges of the Changing Arctic, 2016; *A. Oude Elferink*, The Continental Shelf in Polar Regions: Cold War or Black-letter Law?, *NYIL* 40 (2009), 121; *D. Overholt*, Environmental Protection in the Antarctic, *CanYIL* 28 (1990), 227; *J. Podehl*, Das Umweltschutzprotokoll zum Antarktisvertrag als Ergebnis über die Verhandlungen über die Rohstoffnutzung in der Antarktis, 1993; *E. Pushkareva*, Concepts of the Legal Status of the Arctic, *MPYUNL* 19 (2015), 363; *D. R. Rothwell*, The Polar Regions and the Development of International Law, 1996; *K. Scott*, Institutional Developments within the Antarctic Treaty System, *ICLQ* 52 (2003), 473; *dies./D. VanderZwaag* (Hg.), Research Handbook on Polar Law, 2020; *K. Simmonds*, Antarctic Conversations, 1993; *I. F. Soltvedt* u. a. (Hg.), Arctic Governance, 3 Bände, 2017; *A. Telesetzky/S. Lee*, After Whaling in the Antarctic: Amending Article VIII to Fix a Broken Treaty Regime, *IJMCL* 30 (2015), 700; *G. D. Triggs* (Hg.), The Antarctic Treaty Regime, 1987; *D. Vidas*, Antarctic Tourism: A Challenge to the Legitimacy of the Antarctic Treaty System?, *GYIL* 36 (1993), 187; *P. Vigny*, The Interaction between the Antarctic Treaty System and the Other Relevant Conversations Applicable to the Antarctic Area, *MPUNYB* 4 (2000), 481; *S. Vöneky/F. Beck*, Schutz der antarktischen und arktischen Umwelt, in: *Proelß* (Hg.), Internationales Umweltrecht, 2017, 531; *M. Wallimann*, Rechtsfragen der Arktisnutzung, *StudZR* 2013, 27; *A. Watts*, International Law and the Antarctic Treaty System, 1992; *R. Wolfrum* (Hg.), Antarctic Challenge, 3 Bände, 1984-1988; *ders.*, The Conversation on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities, 1991.

D. Cyberspace und Völkerrecht

Vertiefende Literatur zu D.: *A. v. Arnould*, Freiheit und Regulierung in der Cyberwelt: Transnationaler Schutz der Privatsphäre aus Sicht des Völkerrechts, *BDGVR* 47 (2016), 1; *H. P. Aust*, Spionage im Zeitalter von Big Data: Globale Überwachung und der Schutz der Privatsphäre im Völkerrecht, *AVR* 52 (2014), 375; *W. Benedek/M. Kettemann*, Freedom of Expression and the Internet, 2014; *J. v. Bernsteinff*, Democratic Global Internet Regulation?, *ELJ* 9 (2003), 511; *O. Bigos*, Jurisdiction Over Cross-Border Wrongs On The Internet, *ICLQ* 54 (2005), 585; *W. Boothby*, Cyber Capabilities, in: *ders.* (Hg.), New Technologies and the Law in War and Peace, 2019, 85; *I. Brown* (Hg.), Research Handbook On Governance Of The Internet, 2013; *ders./D. Korff*, Foreign Surveillance: Law and Practice in a Global Digital Environment, *EHRLR* 2014, 243; *R. Buchan*, Cyber Espionage and International Law, 2019; *H. Büttner/M. Schwabe*, The Aftermath of Prism: The International Legal Framework for Surveillance and the Radius of Operation for German Intelligence Agencies from a Human Rights Perspective, *GYIL* 58 (2015), 465; *A. Cheung*, The Business of Governance: China's Legislation on Content Regulation in Cyberspace, *NYUJILP* 38 (2006), 1; *H.-G. Dederer*, ICANN und die Dominanz der USA, *AVR* 47 (2009), 367; *A. Deeks*, An International Legal Framework for Surveillance, *VirginiaJIL* 55 (2015), 291; *F. Delerue*, Cyber Operations and International Law, 2020; *L. Edwards/C. Waelde* (Hg.), Law and the Internet, 3. Aufl. 2009; *D. Efrony/Y. Shany*, A Rule Book on the Shelf? Tallinn Manual 2.0 on Cyberoperations and Subsequent State Practice, *AJIL* 112 (2018), 583; *B. Fang*, Cyberspace Sovereignty, 2018; *D. Fidler*, Advanced Introduction to Cybersecurity Law, 2022; *U. Fink*, Recht im virtuellen Raum: Die Rechtsordnung des Cyberspace, in: *Odendahl/Giegerich* (Hg.), Räume im Völker- und Europarecht, 2014, 53; *A. Fischer-Lescano*, Der Kampf um die Internetverfassung, *JZ* 2014, 965; *K. Gab-*

le, Cyber-Apocalypse Now: Securing the Internet Against Cyberterrorism and Using Universal Jurisdiction as a Deterrent, VJTL 43 (2010), 57; *T. Goebel*, Freiraum oder Herrschaftsgebiet: Menschenrecht auf Zugang und völkerrechtliche Prinzipien als Schranken staatlichen Handelns im Internet, 2019; *J. Goldsmith*, Sovereign Difference and Sovereign Deference on the Internet, YaleLJ 128 (2019), 818; *S. Herrmann*, Völkerrechtliche Jurisdiktionsgrundlagen für den Datenschutz im Netz, 2021; *S. Hobe/R. Popova*, Law in Cyberspace?, ZLW 67 (2018), 254; *W. Hoffmann-Riem*, Freiheitsschutz in den globalen Kommunikationsstrukturen, JZ 2014, 53; *E. Ivanov*, Combating Cyberterrorism under International Law, BalticYIL 14 (2014), 55; *E. T. Jensen*, State Obligations in Cyber Operations, BalticYIL 14 (2014), 71; *ders.*, Cyber Sovereignty, TexasILJ 50 (2015), 275; *D. Joyce*, Internet Freedom and Human Rights, EJIL 26 (2015), 493; *M. C. Kettemann*, Das Internet als internationales Schutzgut, ZaöRV 72 (2012), 469; *ders.*, Völkerrecht in Zeiten des Netzes, 2015; *J. Kulesza*, International Internet Law, 2012; *J. Kurbalija*, Internet Governance and International Law, in: Drake (Hg.), Reforming Internet Governance, 2005, 105; *M. Land*, Toward an International Law of the Internet, HarvILJ 54 (2013), 393; *L. Langer*, Cyberspace Does Not Lie within Your Borders: Jurisdiktion und Menschenrechte im Digitalen Raum, SRIEL 29 (2019), 3; *A. Lloyd*, Increasing Global Demand for an Uncensored Internet – How the U.S. Can Help Defeat Online Censorship by Facilitating Private Action, VJTL 41 (2008), 299; *F. Mayer*, Das Internet, das Völkerrecht und die Internationalisierung des Rechts, ZfRSoz 23 (2002), 93; *K. Meehan*, The Continuing Conundrum of International Internet Jurisdiction, BCICLR 31 (2008), 345; *A. Mihir*, Bedrohte Menschenrechte im Cyberraum, VN 2016, 61; *dies.*, Cyber Justice. Human Rights and Good Governance for the Internet, 2017; *M. Milanović*, Human Rights Treaties and Foreign Surveillance, HarvILJ 56 (2015), 81; *B. De Padirac* (Hg.), The International Dimensions of Cyberspace Law, 2018; *P. Polanski*, Customary Law of the Internet, 2007; *C. Schaller*, Internationale Sicherheit und Völkerrecht im Cyberspace, SWP-Studie, 2014; *S. Schmahl*, Zwischenstaatliche Kompetenzabgrenzung im Cyberspace, AVR 47 (2009), 284; *dies.*, Cybersecurity, BDGVR 47 (2016), 159; *M. Schmitt* (Hg.), Tallinn Manual 2.0 on the International Law Applicable to to Cyber Operations, 2017; *ders.*, International Cyber Norms: Reflections on the Path Ahead, 2018; *T. Schultz*, Carving up the Internet: Jurisdiction, Legal Orders, and the Private/Public International Law Interface, EJIL 19 (2008), 799; *S.-H. Schulze*, Cyber-„War“ – Testfall der Staatenverantwortlichkeit, 2015; *S. Shackelford/A. Craig*, Beyond the New “Digital Divide”: Analyzing the Evolving Role of National Governments in Internet Governance and Enhancing Cybersecurity, StanfordJIL 50 (2014), 119 (184); *A. Segura-Serrano*, Internet Regulation and the Role of International Law, MPYUNL 10 (2006), 191; *N. Tsagourias*, Law, Borders and the Territorialisation of Cyberspace, Indonesian Journal of International Law 15 (2018), 523; *R. Uerpman-Wittzack/M. Jankowska-Gilberg*, Die Europäische Menschenrechtskonvention als Ordnungsrahmen für das Internet, MMR 2008, 83; *R. Uerpman-Wittzack*, Internetvölkerrecht, AVR 47 (2009), 261; *B. Wagner/M. C. Kettemann/K. Vieth* (Hg.), Research Handbook on Human Rights and Digital Technology, 2019; *C. Walter*, Cyber security als Herausforderung für das Völkerrecht, JZ 2015, 685; *J.-C. Woltag*, Cyber Warfare, 2014; *J. Zekoll*, Jurisdiction in Cyberspace, in: Handl/Zekoll/Zumbansen (Hg.), Beyond Territoriality: Transnational Legal Authority in an Age of Globalization, 2012, 341; Focus: Cyber-Security Beyond the Military Perspective, GYIL 58 (2015), 51; Schwerpunkt SRIEL 26 (2016), 193.