

Teil I

Allgemeines Völkerrecht

§ 6 Durchsetzung des Völkerrechts

A. Wege zur Durchsetzung des Völkerrechts

Vertiefende Literatur zu A.: I.-V. (ohne Gerichte, Schiedsgerichte). W. Benedek, Grundlagen und Rahmenbedingungen der Steuerungskraft des Völkerrechts, ZaöRV 76 (2016), 345; L. Boisson de Chazournes/M. Kohen/J. Viñuales (Hg.), *Diplomatic and Judicial Means of Dispute Settlement*, 2013; M. Bothe, Tatsachenfeststellung (fact-finding) als Mittel der Durchsetzung von Menschenrechten und humanitärem Völkerrecht, FS E. Klein, 2013, 1007; A. Casse, Fostering Increased Conformity with International Standards, in: ders. (Hg.), *Realizing Utopia*, 2012, 295; J. Charpentier, Le contrôle par les organisations internationales de l'exécution des obligations des Etats, RdC 182 (1983), 143; A. D'Amato, The Coerciveness of International Law, GYIL 52 (2009), 437; J. Delbrück (Hg.), *Allocation of Law Enforcement Authority in the International System*, 1995; K. Doehring, Die Selbstdurchsetzung völkerrechtlicher Verpflichtungen, ZaöRV 47 (1987), 44; B. Dzida, Zum Recht der Repressalie im heutigen Völkerrecht, 1997; O. Elagab, The Legality of Non-Forcible Counter-Measures in International Law, 1988; M. Evans (Hg.), Remedies in International Law: The Institutional Dilemma, 1998; J. Faget (Hg.), Mediation in Political Conflicts, 2011; J. Farrall/K. Rubenstein (Hg.), *Sanctions, Accountability and Governance in a Globalised World*, 2014; B. Ferencz, Enforcement of International Law, 2 Bde., 1983; W. Fiedler, Gegenmaßnahmen, BDGVR 37 (1997), 9; D. French/M. Saul/N. White (Hg.), International Law and Dispute Settlement: New Problems and Techniques, 2010; J. A. Frowein, Collective Enforcement of International Obligations, ZaöRV 47 (1987), 67; ders., Reactions by not directly affected states to breaches of public international law, RdC 248 (1994), 353; D. Gartner, Transnational Rights Enforcement, BerkJIL 31 (2013), 1; G. Hafner, Völkerrechtliche Grenzen und Wirksamkeit von Sanktionen gegen Völkerrechtssubjekte, ZaöRV 76 (2016), 391; K. Haibronner, Sanctions and Third Parties and the Concept of Public International Order, AVR 30 (1992), 2; C. Henderson (Hg.), Commissions of Inquiry: Problems and Prospects, 2017; M. Holmes, The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy, IntOrg 67 (2013), 829; E. Klein, Sanctions by International Organizations and Economic Communities, AVR 30 (1992), 3; ders., Gegenmaßnahmen, BDGVR 37 (1997), 39; D. Krisiotis, International law and the relatives of enforcement, in: Crawford/Koskeniemi (Hg.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Law*, 2012, 245; J. G. Merrills, The Mosaic of International Dispute Settlement Procedures, NILR 54 (2007), 361; M. Noortmann, Enforcing International Law: From Self-help to Self-contained Regimes, 2005; J. Pauwelyn, Optimal Protection of International Law: Navigating between European Absolutism and American Voluntarism, 2008; A. Peters, International Dispute Settlement: A Network of Cooperative Duties, EJIL 14 (2003), 1; V. Röben, The Enforcement Authority of International Institutions, GLJ 9 (2008), 1965; N. Ronzitti (Hg.), *Coercive Diplomacy, Sanctions and International Law*, 2015; W. Schücking, Das völkerrechtliche Institut der Vermittlung, 1923; O. Sender, Coercion, MPEPIL (11/2021); E. Stein, Collective Enforcement of International Obligations, ZaöRV 47 (1987), 56; N. Susani, Conciliation and Other Forms of Non-Binding Dispute Settlement, in: Crawford u. a. (Hg.), *The Law of International Responsibility*, 2010, 1099; C. Tams, Enforcing Obligations *Erga Omnes* in International Law, 2005; A. Thompson, Coercive Enforcement of International Law, in: Dunoff/Pollack (Hg.), *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on International Law and International Relations*, 2013, 502; C. Tomuschat, Some Reflections on the Consequences of a Breach of an Obligation under International Law, FS Schindler, 1989, 147; ders./R. Pisillo Mazzeschi/D. Thürer (Hg.), *Conciliation in International Law: The OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration*, 2016; J. Vervliet, Peaceful Settle-

ment of International Disputes and the History and Legacy of the 1899 and 1907 Hague Conventions, in: Lesaffer (Hg.), One Century Peace Palace: From Past to Present, 2014, 39; *M. Waibel*, The Diplomatic Channel, in: Crawford u. a. (Hg.), The Law of International Responsibility, 2010, 1085; *C. Walter*, Vermittlung als Form der friedlichen Streitbeilegung im Völkerrecht der Gegenwart, in: Althoff (Hg.), Frieden stiften, 2011, 243; *ders.*, Grundlagen und Rahmenbedingungen für die Steuerungskraft des Völkerrechts, ZaöRV 76 (2016), 363; *R. Wolfrum/I. Gätzschmann* (Hg.), International Dispute Settlement: Room for Innovations?, 2013; *K. Zemanek*, The Unilateral Enforcement of International Obligations, ZaöRV 47 (1987), 32; *ders.*, New Trends in the Enforcement of *Erga Omnes* Obligations, MPUNYB 4 (2000), 1.

Gerichte, Schiedsgerichte. *P. Abel*, Negative Zuständigkeitskonflikte internationaler Gerichte durch Subsidiaritätsklauseln: Zur Bedeutung des Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean-Urteils des IGH für die internationale Streitbeilegung, ZaöRV 78 (2018), 339; *G. Abi-Saab*, The Normalization of International Adjudication, NYUJILP 43 (2010), 1; *B. Abrahamson Chigara*, The Administration of International Law in National Courts and the Legitimacy of International Law, ICLQ 17 (2017), 909; *J. Alvarez*, Three Responses to “Proliferating” Tribunals, NYUJILP 41 (2009), 991; *A. v. Arnauld*, Public International Law and the Role of Federal Courts in Germany, in: Tomuschat/Verhoven (Hg.), The Practice of International Law in France and Germany, 2012, 11; *H. Aust/G. Nolte* (Hg.), The Interpretation of International Law by Domestic Courts, 2016; *G. De Baere/J. Wouters* (Hg.), The Contribution of International and Supranational Courts to the Rule of Law, 2015; *G. De Baere/A.-L. Chané/J. Wouters*, International Courts as Keepers of the Rule of Law: Achievements, Challenges and Opportunities, NYUJILP 48 (2016), 715; *E. Benvenisti/G. Downs* (Hg.), Between Fragmentation and Democracy: The Role of National and International Courts, 2018; *R. Bernhardt*, Die gerichtliche Durchsetzung völkerrechtlicher Verpflichtungen, ZaöRV 47 (1987), 17; *A. v. Bogdandy/I. Venzke*, Zur Herrschaft internationaler Gerichte: Eine Untersuchung internationaler öffentlicher Gewalt und ihrer demokratischen Rechtfertigung, ZaöRV 70 (2010), 1; *dies.* (Hg.), International Judicial Lawmaking, 2012; *dies.*, In wessen Namen? Internationale Gerichte in Zeiten globalen Regierens, 2014; *C. Brower*, Arbitration, MPEPIL (2/2007); *C. Brown*, The Inherent Powers of International Courts and Tribunals, BYIL 76 (2005), 195; *T. Bergenthal*, Proliferation of International Courts and Tribunals: Is it Good or Bad?, LJL 14 (2001), 267; *J. Charney*, Is International Law Threatened by Multiple International Tribunals?, RdC 271 (1998), 101; *S. Cho/T. Lee*, Double Remedies in Double Courts, EJIL 26 (2015), 519; *B. Daly/E. Goriatcheva/H. Meighen* (Hg.), A Guide to the PCA Arbitration Rules, 2014; *E. De Brabandere* (Hg.), International Procedure in Interstate Litigation and Arbitration: A Comparative Approach, 2021; *S. El Boudouhi*, The National Judge as an Ordinary Judge of International Law? Invocability of Treaty Law in National Courts, LJIL 28 (2015), 283; *S. Dothan*, International Judicial Review: When Should International Courts Intervene?, 2020; *J. H. Fahner*, Judicial Deference in International Adjudication: A Comparative Analysis, 2020; *S. Fatima*, Using International Law in Domestic Courts, 2005; *O. K. Fauchald/A. Nollkaemper* (Hg.), The Practice of International and National Courts and the (De-)Fragmentation of International Law, 2012; *J. Finke*, Die Parallelität internationaler Streitbeilegungsmechanismen, 2004; *A. Follesdal/G. Ulfstein* (Hg.), The Judicialization of International Law: A Mixed Blessing?, 2018; *C. Giorgetti* (Hg.), Challenges and Recusals of Judges and Arbitrators in International Courts and Tribunals, 2015; *C. Gray*, Judicial Remedies in International Law, 1990; *M. Iovane*, L'influence de la multiplication des juridictions internationales sur l'application du droit international, RdC 383 (2016), 233; *R. Jennings*, The Judicial Enforcement of International Obligations, ZaöRV 47 (1987), 3; *S. Katzenstein*, In the Shadow of Crisis: The Creation of International Courts in the Twentieth Century, HarvILJ 55 (2014), 151; *K. Keith*, 100 Years of International Arbitration and Adjudication, MelbJIL 15 (2014), 1; *A. Lang*, The Role of the International Court of Justice in a Context of Fragmentation, ICLQ 62 (2013), 777; *E. Lauterpacht*, Aspects of the Administration of International Justice, 1991; *N. Lavranos*, Regulating Competing Jurisdictions Among International Courts and Tribunals, ZaöRV 68 (2008), 575; *T. Lock*, Das Verhältnis zwischen dem EuGH und internationalen Gerichten, 2010; *R. Mackenzie/P. Sands*, International Courts and Tribunals and the Independence of the International Judge, HarvIJL 44 (2003), 271; *E. McWhinney*, Judicial Settlement of Disputes: Jurisdiction and Justiciability

ty, RdC 221 (1990), 2; *W. Mingelen/J. Uzman*, Courts as Consiglieri: Advisory Opinions in a Comparative Constitutional Perspective, EYCL 2021, 245; *A. Mondré*, Forum Shopping in International Disputes, 2015; *A. Nollkaemper*, The Role of National Courts in Inducing Compliance with International and European Law – A Comparison, in: Cremona (Hg.), *Compliance and Enforcement of EU Law*, 2012, 157; *J. Norton Moore*, International Arbitration: Contemporary Issues and Innovations, 2013; *M. Nunner*, Kooperation internationaler Gerichte: Lösung zwischengerichtlicher Konflikte durch herrschaftsfreien Diskurs, 2009; *K. Oellers-Frahm*, Judges and Arbitrators, MPEPIL (3/2019); *A. Roberts*, Comparative International Law? The Role of National Courts in Creating and Enforcing International Law, ICLQ 60 (2011), 57; *C. Romano*, The Shift from the Consensual to the Compulsory Paradigm in International Adjudication, NYUJILP 39 (2007), 791; *L. E. Salles*, Forum Shopping in International Adjudication: The Role of Preliminary Objections, 2016; *S. Schwebel/L. Sobota/R. Manton*, International Arbitration: Three Salient Problems, 2. Aufl. 2020; *Y. Shany*, The Competing Jurisdictions of International Courts and Tribunals, 2003; *ders.*, Assessing the Effectiveness of International Courts, 2014; *D. Shelton*, Form, Function, and the Powers of International Courts, ChiJIL 9 (2008/09), 537; *P. Singh*, Revisiting the Role of International Courts and Tribunals, in: *Singh/Mayer* (Hg.), *Critical International Law*, 2014, 98; *A.-M. Slaughter*, A Global Community of Courts, HarvILJ 44 (2003), 191; *D. Sloss* (Hg.), The Role of Domestic Courts in Treaty Enforcement, 2009; *C. Tomuschat*, International Courts and Tribunals, MPEPIL (4/2019); *R. Teitel/R. Howse*, Cross-Judging: Tribunalization in a Fragmented but Interconnected Global Order, NYUJILP 41 (2009), 959; *P. Webb*, International Judicial Integration and Fragmentation, 2013; *D. Zimmermann*, The Independence of International Courts, 2014; Schwerpunkt: Domestic Courts as Agents of Development in International Law, LJIL 26 (2013), Heft 3; Schwerpunkt: The Function of Judges and Arbitrators in International Law, NYUJILP 46 (2014), Heft 3; Special Issue: Growing Competition Among International Courts and Tribunals, LPICT 15 (2016), Heft 2; Special Issue: Access to Justice and Liberal Democracies, IJGLS 27 (2020), Heft 1.

B. Der Internationale Gerichtshof

Vertiefende Literatur zu B.: *G. Abi-Saab*, De l'évolution de la Cour Internationale, RGDI 96 (1992), 273; *F. Ahmadov*, The Right of Actio Popularis before International Courts and Tribunals, 2018; *D. Akande*, The Competence of International Organizations and the Advisory Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, EJIL 9 (1998), 437; *R. v. Alebee/E. A. Weitzel*, ICJ: Constitutional and Institutional Developments, LJIL 13 (2000), 339; *A. Alvarez-Jimenez*, The ICJ's Marshall Islands (Mis)judgments on Nuclear Disarmament, SyracuseJILC 45 (2017), 1; *S. A. Alexandrov*, Reservations in Unilateral Declarations Accepting the Compulsory Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, 1995; *C. Amerasinghe*, Local Remedies in International Law, 2. Aufl. 2004; *P. d'Argent*, Preliminary Objections: International Court of Justice (ICJ), MPEPIL (4/2019); *J. d'Aspremont*, The Recommendations Made by the International Court of Justice, ICLQ 56 (2007), 185; *R. Bernhardt*, Interim Measures Indicated by International Courts, 1994; *E. Bjorge/C. Miles* (Hg.), Landmark Cases in Public International Law, 2017; *J. Charney*, Compromissory Clauses and the Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, AJIL 81 (1987), 855; *J. G. Devaney*, Reappraising the Role of Experts in Recent Cases Before the International Court of Justice, GYIL 62 (2019), 337; *F. S. Eichberger*, Give a Court an Inch and It Will Take a Yard? The Exercise of Jurisdiction over Incidental Issues, ZaöRV 81 (2021), 235; *A. Eyffinger*, The International Court of Justice 1946-1996, 1996; *G. Fitzmaurice*, The Law and Procedure of the International Court of Justice, 2 Bände, 1986; *M. Fitzmaurice*, International Court of Justice, Optional Clause, MPEPIL (2/2021); *C. A. Fleischhauer*, Der Internationale Gerichtshof und die Staatengemeinschaft am Ende des Jahrhunderts, FW 74/1-2 (1999), 113; *S. Forlati*, International Court of Justice: An Arbitral Tribunal or a Judicial Body?, 2014; *C. Foster*, Burden of Proof in International Courts and Tribunals, AYIL 29 (2010), 27; *G. Gaja/J. Grote Stoutenburg* (Hg.), Enhancing the Rule of Law through the International Court of Justice, 2014; *C. Gray*, Judicial Remedies in International Law, 1990; *G. Guillaume*, De l'exécution des décisions de la Cour internationale de Justice, SZIER 7 (1997),

431; *G. Hernández*, The International Court of Justice and the Judicial Function, 2014; *R. Jennings*, The International Court of Justice After Fifty Years, AJIL 89 (1995), 493; *ders.*, The Role of the International Court of Justice, BYIL 68 (1998), 1; *B. Kempen/Z. He*, The Practice of the International Court of Justice on Provisional Measures: The Recent Development, ZaöRV 69 (2009), 919; *R. Kolb*, Note on New International Case-law Concerning the Binding Character of Provisional Measures, NorJIL 74 (2005), 117; *A. Koroma*, The Binding Nature of the Decision of the International Court of Justice, FS Gowlland-Debbas, 2010, 431; *P. Kooijmans*, The ICJ in the 21st Century: Judicial Restraint, Judicial Activism, or Proactive Judicial Policy, ICLQ 56 (2007), 741; *F. Lusa Bordin*, Procedural Developments at the International Court of Justice, LPIC 14 (2015), 340; *K. Mbaye*, L'intérêt pour agir devant la Cour Internationale de Justice, RdC 209 (1988), 223; *J. McIntyre*, Declaratory Judgments of the International Court of Justice, HYIL 25 (2013), 107; *C. Miles*, The Origins of the Law on Provisional Measures Before International Courts and Tribunals, ZaöRV 73 (2013), 615; *ders.*, Provisional Measures before International Courts and Tribunals, 2017; *H. Mosler*, Political and Justiciable Legal Disputes: Revival of an old Controversy?, FS Schwarzenberger, 1988, 216; *S. Oda*, The International Court of Justice Viewed from the Bench (1976-1993), RdC 244 (1993), 9; *ders.*, The Compulsory Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice: A Myth?, ICLQ 49 (2000), 251; *A. Orakhelashvili*, The International Court and “Its Freedom to Select the Ground Upon Which it Will Base its Judgment”, ICLQ 56 (2007), 171; *B. H. Oxman*, Complementary Agreements and Compulsory Jurisdiction, AJIL 95 (2001), 277; *P. Palchetti*, The Power of the International Court of Justice to Indicate Provisional Measures to Prevent the Aggravation of a Dispute, LJIL 21 (2008), 623; *O. Pomson*, The Obligations concerning Negotiations Cases and the “Dispute” Requirement in the International Court of Justice, JLPICT 16 (2017), 373; *J. Quintana Aranguren*, Litigation at the International Court of Justice, 2015; *B. Ramcharan*, Modernizing the Role of the International Court of Justice, 2022; *M. Shahabuddeen*, Precedent in the World Court, 1996; *M. Shaw*, The International Court of Justice: A Practical Perspective, ICLQ 46 (1997), 831; *C. Tams/J. Sloan (Hg.)*, The Development of International Law by the International Court of Justice, 2013; *A. Tanzi*, Problems of Enforcement of Decisions of the International Court of Justice and the Law of the United Nations, EJIL 6 (1995), 539; *T. Thienel*, Third States and Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, GYIL 57 (2014), 321; *ders.*, Drittstaaten und die Jurisdiktion des Internationalen Gerichtshofs: Die Monetary Gold-Doktrin, 2016; *H. Thirlway*, Advisory Opinions, MPEPIL (4/2006); *ders.*, The Law and Procedure of the International Court of Justice: Fifty Years of Jurisprudence, 2013; *G. Törber*, The Contractual Nature of the Optional Clause, 2015; *J. Wass*, Jurisdiction by Estoppel and Acquiescence in International Courts and Tribunals, BYIL 86 (2015), 155; *K. C. Wellens*, Economic Conflicts and Disputes Before the World Court, 1996; *G. Weeramantry*, The Function of the International Court of Justice in the Development of International Law, LJIL 10 (1997), 340; *A. Weisburd*, Failings of the International Court of Justice, 2016; *A. Wiik*, Amicus Curiae before International Courts and Tribunals, 2018; *M. Wood*, Advisory Jurisdiction: Lessons from Recent Practice, FS Wolfrum, Band 2, 2012, 1833.